

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/600,571	06/23/2003	Masao Hori	HARA-072-046	9645	
20374	7590 12/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
11020.0	& KUBOVCIK		NGUYEN,	TU MINH	
SUITE 710 900 17TH STI	REET NW		·ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		3748		

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/600,571	HORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu M. Nguyen	3748	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Tu M. Nguyen	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
IE REPLY FILED 15 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)							
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropring the final Office of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, or the final rejection of the final rejection.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rej		the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co :		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment(s): a) The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 11-16.		l be entered a nd an c	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		:					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition⊦for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
		Tu M. N 12/4/2	006				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding to the "As to exhaust gas" argument, the claim in the pending application that the invention is directed to a fuel-direct-injection gasoline engine has been determined as an "intended use statement". The examiner has noted that most internal combustion engines (which includes the engine in the pending application and lean burning engine in Katoh et al.) that utilize HC as a fuel generate exhaust gases containing harmful emissions of HC, NOx, soot, CO, and SOx, that require purification before the gases can be released to the atmosphere; and the mere selection of the purification process of Katoh et al. for use in a gasoline fuel-direct-injection engine would be well within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding to the "As to catalyst" argument, similar to the pending application, the catalyst (6) in Katoh et al. also include a noble metal (platinum, line 65 of column 3) and a fire-resistant inorganic oxide (active alumina, line 62 of column 3) carrying the noble metal. This catalyst is also adapted to purify NOx, CO, and HC contained in the exhaust gas under a stoichiometric condition (see Figure 3B).

Regarding to the "As to controlling of temperature at an inlet of the catalyst" argument, the reference of Katoh et al. has been shown to control exhaust gas conditions (temperature and air-fuel ratio) at an inlet of the catalyst to purify an exhaust gas. As shown in Figure 5, when the exhaust gas is between 350 to 800 degree Celsius (step 106 with Yes answer), the exhaust gas is switched (in step 108) to a stoichiometric air-fuel ratio to reduce NOx released from the catalyst (see Figure 3B). When the gas is between 200 to 350 degree Celsius (step 106 with No answer), the gas is maintained (in step 110) at a lean normal condtion so that NOx in the gas can be adsorbed by the catalyst (see Figure 3A).